On the Effect of Atmospheric Turbulence in the Feature Space of Deep Face Recognition



Vision and Security Technology

Problem Statement

How does optical atmospheric turbulence *break* the performance of deep face recognition?

Contributions

- Extensively evaluate Face Recognition model behavior under various levels of atmospheric turbulence and Gaussian blur.
- Demonstrate state-of-the-art methods performs poorly under atmospheric turbulence.
- Identify **feature defection** as a cause of poor quality assessment and lower recognition performance under atmospheric turbulence.
- Outline paths of future work for creating models robust to atmospheric turbulence.

Atmospheric Turbulence

What is Atmospheric Turbulence?

Turbulent air flow and air temperature deltas create spatially and temporally varying refractive indexes in the atmosphere. For an imaging system, this creates degraded image quality due to variable point spread functions. This effect, called atmospheric turbulence, can be described

 $o = B(\text{MTF}, x, y, t) \otimes (G(\xi(p, T, \lambda), \theta, ..., x, y, t) \otimes z) + \epsilon$

where (x, y) are spatial parameters, t is a temporal parameter, $(p, T, \lambda, \theta, \xi)$ are physical parameters, and z is an unobserved clean image. Several of these parameters are unobservable, and operators B, G are non-linear and random. Accounting for this perturbation in computer vision tasks is an open problem.

Simulated Atmospherics

To obtain sufficient data for experiments we use state-of-the-art atmospherics simulation software on the LFW, AGEDB, and CFP datasets. For each dataset we simulate 20 levels of turbulence between 0.25 (weak) and 5.0 (severe).



Feature Space of Deep Face Recognition

- In open-set face recognition, performance is dependent on inter-class separation and intraclass compactness in deep feature space.
- In feature space, magnitude is known to be highly correlated with recognition performance. We study how feature space is affected by the presence of atmospherics.

 θ_i : intra-class distance θ_i : inter-class distance

Model Behavior Under Atmospherics



Accuracy and Quality Assessment Correlation



PSF before and after Atmospherics



Wes J. Robbins and Terrance E. Boult

Feature Space Diagram of Clean Samples



 w_1, w_2, w_3 ,: separate class centers

Clean samples have large feature magnitudes (i.e., far from origin)

Feature Magnitude under Increasing Perturbations

We perturb the validation sets with varying levels of Gaussian blur to compare to the effect of atmospherics:

- Magnitude decreases monotonically under Gaussian blur perturbations
- Magnitude non-monotonic under ÌS atmospherics, increasing from strengths 1-4.
- This suggests atmospheric turbulence is a uniquely challenging perturbation for face recognition.

Identifying Feature Defection



- Perturbed samples have new 'features' mouth, hairline).
- Misinterpreted by the model as salient features for identification.
- Significantly degrades model performance.



Ongoing & Future Work

Methods for Deep Feature Spaces Robust to Feature Defection

In this work we found atmospheric turbulence creates degrades feature space structure, which is detrimental to Face Recognition performance. We are working on methods for feature spaces that are robust to atmospherics by leveraging atmospheric data for both supervised and self-supervised training.

Conclusion

We show that Atmospheric turbulence significantly degrades feature space structure of deep face recognition models. Surprisingly, we find feature magnitudes increase under certain levels of atmospheric turbulence. Lastly, we outline paths for future work to overcome discovered challenges.



Clean vs. Atmospheric



around high-contrast regions (e.g., eyes,

Activation Maps

Activation maps are considerably different between atmospheric and Gaussian blur perturbations. Defected *features* cause high activations despite highly degraded images.



Effect of Feature Defection in Feature Space

a) clean samples have highclass separation **b)** under low-turbulence features decrease in magnitude c) under high-turbulence feature space structure is lost

Validating Simulated Turbulence

A significant limitation of current work on atmospheric turbulence is that both training and testing are on simulated data with no reference to ground truth atmospherics. We are working to validate atmospheric simulators by comparing with images captured through real atmospheric conditions.

Acknowledgements

This research is based upon work supported in part by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) and Intelligence Advanced Research Projects Activity (IARPA), via the BRIAR research program [2022-21102100003].